IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT

JOHN KOLLAR

SERIAL NO.

08/567,564

FILED

DECEMBER 5, 1995

FOR

PREPARATION OF DIALKYL PEROXIDES

ART UNIT

1204

EXAMINER

PORFIRIO NAZARIO-GONZALES

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, BOX INTERFERENCE, Washington, D.C. 2023l on March 6, 1998.

Vott N KOLCAR
(Name of Registered Rep.)

(Signature and Date)

STATUS INQUIRY

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS BOX INTERFERENCE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The subtle suggestions of a "Status Inquiry" does not seem to spur the personnel of the US PTO into fulfilling its mandate under the Code of Federal Regulations in accordance with 37 CFR 1.607(b) "When an applicant seeks an interference with a patent, examination of the application, including any appeal to the Board, shall be conducted with "special dispatch" within the Patent and Trademark Office." underlined for emphasis

Dec. 5, 1995

Jan. 12, 1998

Jan. 17, 1998

Feb. 23, 1998

I am not an attorney, I am a very commercialized inventor of about 60 USA and well over a 1000 worldwide patents. My timeliness experiences with the US PTO on normal patent prosecutions suggest that the "special dispatch" of interference matters has not and is not being complied with based on the following time line of events in the above referenced application.

Applicant has been doubly delayed. Applicant can and has fired incompetent attorneys who even with applicants verbal and written resolute requests for prompt response to Office actions have created about a five month delay and even after being dismissed, delayed applicants request for the Office Action papers for 3 weeks.

The following timeline of activity or inactivity is provided for your guidance in assessing whether the "special dispatch" is being administer within the intent and precedent of 37 CFR 1.607(b).

Application Filed with Notice that Claims 11-17 of

Dec. 3, 1993	Application filed with Notice that Claims II I/ Of
	Application correspond exactly with Claims 1-7
	of USP 5,371,298. Notice of intent to request an
	interference with said patent after Serial Number is
	assigned by US PTO.
Feb. 2, 1996	Serial No. Assigned by US PTO
April 24, 1996	Filing of Request for Interference Papers
May 31, 1996	Status Inquiry "No US PTO Response"
July 31, 1996	Status Inquiry "No US PTO Response"
Jan. 27, 1997	Protest filing by holder of USP 5,371,298
Feb. 13, 1997	Telephone Inquiry "due for action"
April 18, 1997	First US PTO Office Action
Sept. 22, 1997	Response to Office Action
Dec. 12, 1997	Telephone Inquiry by Applicant "next week"
Dec. 17, 1997	Applicant attorneys dismissed
Dec. 19, 1997	Second US PTO Office Action to dismissed attorneys

Status Inquiry

Response to Second US PTO Office Action

Second US PTO Office Action received by Applicant

"No US PTO Response"

SERIAL NO. 08/567,564

Please advise the undersigned, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.607(b) as to when a "special dispatch" action can be expected to Applicant's January 17, 1998 Response in the above identified Application.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN KOLLAR Applicant

Redox Technologies Inc 6 Spencer Court Wyckoff, NJ 07481 201 652-8770